

P. N. Lebedev Institute Preprint
I. E. Tamm Theory Department

FIAN/TD/13-93

September 1993

ON THE MULTILEVEL GENERALIZATION OF THE FIELD – ANTIFIELD FORMALISM

I. A. Batalin and I. V. Tyutin

Department of Theoretical Physics

P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute

Leninsky prospect, 53, 117 924, Moscow, Russia[†]

ABSTRACT

The multilevel geometrically-covariant generalization of the field-antifield BV-formalism is suggested. The structure of quantum generating equations and hypergauge conditions is studied in details. The multilevel formalism is established to be physically-equivalent to the standard BV-version.

[†] E-mail address: tyutin@uspif.if.usp.br

1 Introduction

In previous paper [1] of the present authors a generalization of the field–antifield BV–formalism [2–4] has been suggested in which all the field and antifield variables are treated on equal footing to coordinatize the extended phase space.

The most characteristic feature of the new formalism is that not only the quantum master equation but also the hypergauge conditions are formulated without making use of explicit field–antifield splitting. The corresponding hypergauge functions are not quite arbitrary but satisfy some equations, the so–called unimodular involution relations. These relations are formulated in a geometrically–covariant way and thus do not destroy the field–antifield uniformity.

It has been shown in Ref. [1] that the unimodular involution relations can be obtained as a result of a further generalization of the formalism. Namely, one should extend the original phase space by including the antifields conjugated to the hypergauge Lagrangian multipliers. In the extended phase space one constructs the second–level hypergauge theory, being the original hypertheory called the first–level one. In special hypergauge the second–level theory can be reduced to the first–level one in such a way that the first–level hypergauge appears to satisfy automatically the unimodular involution relations required.

In its own turn, the second–level hypertheory can be extended further to become the third–level one, and so on.

A natural hypothesis appears that there exists a unified hypertheory that includes the Lagrangian multipliers and their conjugated antifields of all the levels, together with the corresponding chain of hypergauge conditions. Regrettably, at the present stage we are able to formulate the hypertheory of a fixed level only, being the final–level hypergauge condition imposed by hand.

In the present paper we construct explicitly the fixed–level hypertheory and study in details the structure of hypergauge conditions, that provides for a gauge invariance of the formalism.

As is usual, we denoted by $\varepsilon(A)$ the Grassmann parity of a quantity A .

Other notation is clear from the context.

2 Outline of the first–level formalism

Let Γ^A , $A = 1, \dots, 2N$, $\varepsilon(\Gamma^A) \equiv \varepsilon_A$, be a total set of field–antifield variables coordinatizing the original phase space.

We define the antisymplectic differential Δ to be a general second–order fermionic operator without the derivativeless term,

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\varepsilon_A} M^{-1} \partial_A M E^{AB} \partial_B, \quad (2.1)$$

required to satisfy the nilpotency condition, $\Delta^2 = 0$, so that $E^{AB}(\Gamma)$ appears to be antisymplectic metric satisfying the Jacobi identity and thus yielding the antibracket operation:

$$(F, G) \equiv F \overleftarrow{\partial}_A E^{AB} \overrightarrow{\partial}_B G. \quad (2.2)$$

The first-level functional integral is defined as follows:

$$Z = \int \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}[W(\Gamma; \hbar) + G_a(\Gamma)\pi^a]\right\} d\mu, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$d\mu = M d\Gamma d\pi \quad (2.4)$$

is the integration measure, the action $W(\Gamma; \hbar)$ satisfies the quantum master equation

$$\Delta \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}W(\Gamma; \hbar)\right\} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

π^a , $a = 1, \dots, N$, $\varepsilon(\pi^a) \equiv \varepsilon_a$, are the Lagrangian multipliers introducing the hypergauge conditions fixed by the functions G_a that satisfy the so-called unimodular involution relations:

$$(G_a, G_b) = G_c U_{ab}^c, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\Delta G_a - U_{ba}^b (-1)^{\varepsilon_b} = G_b V_a^b, \quad (2.7)$$

$$V_a^a = G_a \tilde{G}^a, \quad (2.8)$$

with U_{ab}^c , V_a^b , $\tilde{G}^a$ to be some functions of the original phase space variables Γ .

The integrand of eq. (2.3) is invariant under the generalized BRST-type transformations:

$$\delta\Gamma^A = (\Gamma^A, -W + G_a \pi^a) \mu, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\delta\pi^a = (-U_{bc}^a \pi^c \pi^b (-1)^{\varepsilon_b} + 2i\hbar V_b^a \pi^b + 2(i\hbar)^2 \tilde{G}^a) \mu, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\mu = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(\mu) = 1$.

Choosing the parameter μ to be function

$$\mu = \frac{i}{2\hbar} X(\Gamma) \quad (2.11)$$

that satisfies the equations:

$$i\hbar\Delta X = G_a K^a, \quad \Delta(G_a K^a) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

and making the additional variations

$$\delta\Gamma^A = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^A, X), \quad \delta\pi^a = K^a, \quad (2.13)$$

one generates the following change of the hypergauge functions G_a alone

$$\delta G_a = (G_a, X) \quad (2.14)$$

in the functional integral (2.3).

3 The n -th-level formalism

In this Section we construct inductively the n -th-level functional integral for $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Let us define recursively the n -th-level set of variables of the field-antifield phase space:

$$\Gamma^{(n)A_{(n)}} \equiv (\Gamma^{(n-1)A_{(n-1)}}, \pi^{(n-1)a}, \pi_a^{*(n-1)}), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Gamma^{(1)A_{(1)}} \equiv \Gamma^A, \quad \pi^{(1)a} \equiv \pi^a. \quad (3.2)$$

with $\pi^{(n-1)a}$ and $\pi_a^{*(n-1)}$ to be $(n-1)$ -th-level Lagrangian multipliers and their conjugated antifields, respectively, so that

$$\varepsilon(\pi^{(n)a}) = \varepsilon(\pi_a^{*(n)}) + 1 = \varepsilon_a + n - 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Further, one constructs the operator $\Delta^{(n)}$:

$$\Delta^{(n)} = \Delta^{(n-1)} + \Delta_\pi^{(n)}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Delta_\pi^{(n)} = (-1)^{(\varepsilon_a + n)} \frac{\partial_l}{\partial \pi^{(n-1)a}} \frac{\partial_l}{\partial \pi_a^{*(n-1)}}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Delta^{(1)} \equiv \Delta. \quad (3.6)$$

Let us assign to the n -th level, $n \geq 2$, the corresponding Planck constant $\hbar^{(n)}$, $\varepsilon(\hbar^{(n)}) = 0$, in addition to the usual one $\hbar$, together with the new quantum number called the Planck parity $\text{Pl}^{(n)}$:

$$\text{Pl}^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}) = \text{Pl}^{(n)}(\hbar) = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{Pl}^{(n)}(\hbar^{(n)}) = \text{Pl}^{(n)}(\pi^{(n-1)}) = -\text{Pl}^{(n)}(\pi^{*(n-1)}) = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

The n -th-level quantum action $W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar^{(n)})$ is defined to satisfy the quantum master equation:

$$\Delta^{(n)} \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar^{(n)}} W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar^{(n)})\right\} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

The action $W^{(n)}$ possesses the quantum numbers:

$$\varepsilon(W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar^{(n)})) = 0, \quad \text{Pl}^{(n)}(W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar^{(n)})) = 1, \quad (3.10)$$

and has the following series expansion in powers of $\hbar^{(n)}$, $\pi^{(n)}$, $\pi^{*(n)}$:

$$W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar^{(n)}) = \Omega^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) + i\hbar^{(n)}\Xi^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) + (i\hbar^{(n)})^2\tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) + \dots, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) &= G_a^{(n-1)}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar)\pi^{(n-1)a} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\pi_c^{*(n-1)}U_{ab}^{(n-1)c}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar)\pi^{(n-1)b}\pi^{(n-1)a}(-1)^{(\varepsilon_a+n)} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$$\Xi^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) = -\frac{i}{\hbar}W^{(n-2)}(\Gamma^{(n-2)}; \hbar) + \pi_a^{*(n-1)}V_b^{(n-1)a}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar)\pi^{(n-1)b} + \dots, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) = \pi_a^{*(n-1)}\tilde{G}^{(n-1)a}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar) + \dots, \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) \equiv W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar; \hbar), \quad n \geq 2, \quad (3.15)$$

$$W^{(1)}(\Gamma^{(1)}; \hbar) \equiv W, \quad W^{(0)} \equiv 0, \quad G_a^{(1)} \equiv G_a. \quad (3.16)$$

The n -th-level functional integral is defined as follows:

$$Z^{(n)} = \int \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar}[W^{(n-1)}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar) + W^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)}; \hbar) + G_a^{(n)}(\Gamma^{(n)})\pi^{(n)a}]\right\} d\mu^{(n)}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$d\mu^{(n)} = d\mu^{(n-1)}d\pi^{*(n-1)}d\pi^{(n)}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (3.18)$$

$$Z^{(1)} \equiv Z, \quad d\mu^{(1)} \equiv d\mu. \quad (3.19)$$

The n -th-level hypergauge functions should satisfy the relations ¹ :

$$(G_a^{(n)}, G_b^{(n)}) = G_c^{(n)} U_{ab}^{(n)c}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} (W^{(n-1)}(\Gamma^{(n-1)}; \hbar), G_a^{(n)}) + \Delta^{(n)} G_a^{(n)} + U_{ba}^{(n)b} (-1)^{(\varepsilon_b+n)} = G_b^{(n)} V_a^{(n)b}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$V_a^{(n)a} = G_a^{(n)} \tilde{G}^{(n)a}, \quad (3.22)$$

with some functions $U_{bc}^{(n)a}$, $V_b^{(n)a}$, $\tilde{G}^{(n)a}$. Besides, some normalization conditions should be imposed on $G_a^{(n)}$, to be considered in Section 5.

The following remark is relevant here. Being the n -th-level theory under consideration, the functions $G_a^{(n)}$ are subordinated to the relations (3.20) – (3.22) by hand, while the preceding functions $G_a^{(k)}$, $\tilde{G}^{(k)a}$, $U_{bc}^{(k)a}$, $V_b^{(k)a}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, are to be found by solving the equations for $W^{(k)}$, $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. Besides, all the functions $G_a^{(k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, are restricted by normalization conditions analogous to the ones imposed on the functions $G_a^{(n)}$.

It will be shown below that the functional integral $Z^{(n)}$ does not depend on the choice of $G_a^{(n)}$, and coincides, in special hypergauge, with the $(n-1)$ -th-level functional integral $Z^{(n-1)}$, being the functions $G_a^{(n-1)}$ to fix the hypergauge in this integral.

4 Gauge invariance of the n -th-level formalism

In this Section we show the functional integral to be $G^{(n)}$ -independent and equivalent to $Z^{(n-1)}$.

The integrand of (3.17) is invariant under transformations:

$$\delta \Gamma^{(n)A(n)} = (\Gamma^{(n)A(n)}, W^{(n-1)} - W^{(n)} + G_a^{(n)} \pi^{(n)a}) \mu^{(n)}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\delta \pi^{(n)a} = [U_{bc}^{(n)a} \pi^{(n)c} \pi^{(n)b} (-1)^{(\varepsilon_b+n)} + 2i\hbar V_b^{(n)a} \pi^{(n)b} + 2(i\hbar)^2 \tilde{G}^{(n)a}] \mu^{(n)}. \quad (4.2)$$

where $\mu^{(n)} = \text{const}$, $\varepsilon(\mu^{(n)}) = 1$.

Choosing the parameter $\mu^{(n)}$ to be function

$$\mu^{(n)} = \frac{i}{2\hbar} X^{(n)} \quad (4.3)$$

that satisfy the equation

¹All the antibrackets, $(,)$, are always understood to include the totally-extended set of field-antifield variables

$$i\hbar\Delta^{(n)}X^{(n)} - (W^{(n-1)}, X^{(n)}) = G_a^{(n)}K^{(n)a}, \quad (4.4)$$

and making the additional variations

$$\delta\Gamma^{(n)A_{(n)}} = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{(n)A_{(n)}}, X^{(n)}), \quad \delta\pi^{(n)a} = K^{(n)a}, \quad (4.5)$$

one generate the following change of the hyperfunctions alone

$$G'^{(n)} = G_a^{(n)} + \delta G_a^{(n)}, \quad \delta G_a^{(n)} = (G_a^{(n)}, X^{(n)}) \quad (4.6)$$

in functional integral (3.17).

The transformations (4.6) retains the form of the unimodular involution relations (3.20) – (3.22) by inducing the following transformation of the structure functions:

$$U'^{(n)a}_{bc} = U^{(n)a}_{bc} + (U^{(n)a}_{bc}, X^{(n)}), \quad (4.7)$$

$$V'^{(n)a}_b = V^{(n)a}_b + (V^{(n)a}_b, X^{(n)}) + (-1)^{(\varepsilon_a+n)}U^{(n)b}_{ac}K^{(n)c} + (-1)^{(\varepsilon_a+n)(\varepsilon_b+n)}(G^{(n)}_a, K^{(n)b}), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}'^{(n)a} &= \tilde{G}^{(n)a} + (\tilde{G}^{(n)a}, X^{(n)}) + V^{(n)a}_b K^{(n)b} + \\ &+ (-1)^{(\varepsilon_a+n)}(W^{(n-1)}, K^{(n)a}) - (-1)^{(\varepsilon_a+n)}\Delta^{(n)}K^{(n)a}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

It will be shown in the next Section that the variation (4.6) induces the most general actual changes admissible for the hypergauge surface $G_a^{(n)} = 0$. Thus we conclude that $Z^{(n)}$ does not depend on hypergauge fixing.

Let us suppose the functions $G^{(n)a}$ to be solvable with respect to the antifields $\pi_a^{*(n)}$,

$$\text{Sdet} \left| \frac{\partial_l G_a^{(n)}}{\partial \pi_b^{*(n-1)}} \right| \Big|_{G_a^{(n)}=0} \neq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Choosing the simplest hypergauge

$$G_a^{(n)} = \pi_a^{*(n)} \quad (4.11)$$

of the class (4.10), one reduce $Z^{(n)}$ to the form:

$$Z^{(n)} = \int \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [W^{(n-2)} + W^{(n-1)} + G_a^{(n-1)}\pi^{(n-1)a}] \right\} d\mu^{(n-1)}, \quad (4.12)$$

To identify this representation with the $(n-1)$ -th-level functional integral $Z^{(n-1)}$, it is sufficient to show the functions $G_a^{(n-1)}$ to satisfy the relations of the form (3.20) – (3.22). Substituting the expansion (3.11) for $W^{(n)}$ into the quantum master equation (3.9), we find the following equations for the functions $\Omega^{(n)}, \Xi^{(n)}, \tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}$, $n \geq 2$:

$$(\Omega^{(n)}, \Omega^{(n)}) = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

$$(\Omega^{(n)}, \Xi^{(n)}) = \Delta^{(n)} \Omega^{(n)}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$(\Omega^{(n)}, \tilde{\Omega}^{(n)}) = \Delta^{(n)} \Xi^{(n)} - \frac{1}{2}(\Xi^{(n)}, \Xi^{(n)}). \quad (4.15)$$

To the lowest orders in $\pi^{(n-1)}$, $\pi^{*(n-1)}$ these equations give:

$$(G_a^{(n-1)}, G_b^{(n-1)}) = G_c^{(n-1)} U_{ab}^{(n-1)c}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\frac{i}{\hbar}(W^{(n-2)}, G_a^{(n-1)}) + \Delta^{(n-1)} G_a^{(n-1)} + U_{ba}^{(n-1)b} (-1)^{(\varepsilon_b + n - 1)} = G_b^{(n-1)} V_a^{(n-1)b}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$V_a^{(n-1)a} = G_a^{(n-1)} \tilde{G}^{(n-1)a}, \quad (4.18)$$

Thus the quantum master equation yields automatically the relations required to use $G_a^{(n-1)}$, as well as the lower $G_a^{(k)}$, to be the hypergauge fixing functions.

5 Structure of hypergauge conditions

In this section we consider in details the structure of hypergauge functions that follows from relations (4.16) – (4.18), including the transformation properties, normalization conditions, and equivalence between the simplest hypergauge $G_a^{(n)} = \pi_a^{*(n-1)}$ and arbitrary ones.

In what follows we mean the n -th-level hypertheory, $n = 2, \dots$. The label n will be omitted. For instance, W , π , π^* , Γ , Δ denoted $W^{(n-1)}$, $\pi^{(n-1)}$, $\pi^{*(n-1)}$, $\Gamma^{(n-1)}$, $\Delta^{(n)}$, respectively. the case $n = 1$ has been considered in Ref. [1].

As the integrand of Z contains the hypergauges G_a inside the δ -function only, we are only interested in their properties on the hypergauge surface

$$G_a = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Let these equations possess the solution

$$\varphi_a \equiv \pi_a^* - f_a(\Gamma, \pi) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Let us expand G_a in φ -power series:

$$G_a(\Gamma, \pi, \pi^*) = \varphi_b \Lambda_a^b(\Gamma, \pi) + \varphi_c \varphi_b \Lambda_a^{bc}(\Gamma, \pi) + \dots \quad (5.3)$$

The only essential for the integration of Z are Λ_b^a and φ_a . Substituting the expansion (5.3) into the relations (3.20), (3.21), we obtain the following equations for φ_a and Λ_a^b :

$$(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$(D, \varphi_a) = -Q\varphi_a, \quad (5.4)$$

$$Q \equiv \frac{i}{\hbar}W + \Delta, \quad Q^2 = 0, \quad D \equiv \ln \text{Sdet} \Lambda_b^a. \quad (5.6)$$

One can solve these equations explicitly:

$$f_a(\Gamma, \pi) = -E(\text{ad}\Psi) \frac{\partial_l}{\partial \pi^a} \Psi, \quad (5.7)$$

$$D = -E(\text{ad}\Psi)Q\Psi + \exp(\text{ad}\Psi)d_0(\Gamma), \quad (5.8)$$

where $\Psi(\Gamma, \pi)$ and $d_0(\Gamma)$ are arbitrary functions,

$$E(x) = \frac{\exp(x) - 1}{x}, \quad (5.9)$$

and operator $\text{ad}\Psi$ acts according to the rule:

$$\text{ad}\Psi A \equiv (\Psi, A). \quad (5.10)$$

Let us expand X in φ -power series:

$$X = X_0(\Gamma, \pi) + \varphi_a M^a(\Gamma, \pi) + \dots \quad (5.11)$$

Substituting this expansion into the equation (4.4), we see that this equation imposes no restrictions on X_0 , so that X_0 appears to be an arbitrary function.

Let us consider the transformation properties of f_a and D under the hypergauge variations.

By making use of (4.6), (5.4) – (5.6) and the relation:

$$G_a + \delta G_a = (\varphi_b + \delta\varphi_b)(\Lambda_a^b + \delta\Lambda_a^b) + (\varphi_c + \delta\varphi_c)(\varphi_b + \delta\varphi_b)(\Lambda_a^{bc} + \delta\Lambda_a^{bc}), \quad (5.12)$$

we find:

$$\delta\varphi_a = (\varphi_a, X_0), \quad (5.13)$$

$$\delta D = (D, X_0) + QX_0. \quad (5.14)$$

These transformation properties are described by the following variations of arbitrary functions:

$$\delta\Psi = -E^{-1}(\text{ad}\Psi)X_0, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\delta d_0 = 0. \quad (5.16)$$

It follows from (5.15), (5.16) that the correspondence between $\delta\Psi$ and X_0 is one-to-one. That means that arbitrary Ψ can be transformed to become zero by using a finite hypergauge transformation. Gauge invariance of d_0 means that this function can be normalized. Our choice of the normalization condition is:

$$d_0 \equiv 0, \quad (5.17)$$

so that

$$D = -E(\text{ad}\Psi)Q\Psi. \quad (5.18)$$

Thus an arbitrary set of hypergauge functions G_a can be transformed to become of the form:

$$G_a = \pi_b^* \Lambda_a^b(\Gamma, \pi) + O((\pi^*)^2), \quad (5.19)$$

$$\text{Sdet}\Lambda_a^b = 1. \quad (5.20)$$

As not the matrix Λ_a^b itself but only its superdeterminant enters the expression for Z , the set (5.19) is equivalent to the one

$$G_a = \pi_a^*. \quad (5.21)$$

References

- [1] I.A.Batalin and I.V.Tyutin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A8** (1993) 2333.
- [2] I.A.Batalin and G.A.Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. **B102** (1981) 27.
- [3] I.A.Batalin and G.A.Vilkovisky, Phys. Rev. **D28** (1983) 2567.
- [4] For a review see: M.Henneaux, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **18A** (1990) 47.